

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

|    |                              |   |                               |
|----|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,    | ) | Magistrate Case No. 08MJ8001  |
| 11 |                              | ) |                               |
|    | Plaintiff,                   | ) |                               |
| 12 |                              | ) |                               |
|    | v.                           | ) | FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER OF |
| 13 |                              | ) | DETENTION                     |
|    |                              | ) |                               |
| 14 | Ricardo FIGUEROA-Moreno (2), | ) |                               |
|    |                              | ) |                               |
| 15 | Detention.                   | ) |                               |

---

16 In accordance with § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act of 1984 (18 U.S.C. § 3141 et seq.), a  
17 detention hearing was held on January 8, 2008, to determine whether defendant Ricardo FIGUEROA-  
18 Moreno should be held in custody pending trial on the ground that he is a flight risk. Assistant U. S.  
19 Attorney John F. Weis appeared on behalf of the United States. Matthew Hagen of Federal Defenders  
20 of San Diego, Inc. appeared on behalf of the Defendant.

21 Based on the evidence proffered by the United States and the Defendant, the Pretrial Services  
22 Report, and the criminal complaint issued against the Defendant on January 3, 2008, by this Court, the  
23 Court concludes that the following facts establish by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition  
24 or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant required.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

I

FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Nature and Circumstances of the Offense Charged (18 U.S.C. §3142(G)(1)

1. The Defendant is charged in Criminal Complaint No. 08MJ8001 with possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance 1,738.68 kilograms (3,833.79 pounds) of marijuana in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). Therefore, probable cause exists to believe the Defendant committed the alleged offense.

2. The charged offense is an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.). See 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A). Thus, there arises a presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonable assure the appearance of the Defendant as required. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).

3. According to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, the Base Offense level for the offense is 32 See USSG § 2D1.1. Even assuming the Defendant's criminal history score places him in Criminal History Category I, see USSG § 4A1.1., the sentencing range for the Defendant is 121-151 months in prison.

B. Weight of the Evidence Against the Defendant (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(2)

1. The weight of the evidence against the Defendant is strong. On January 2, 2008, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) received information from an individual who stated he had observed a large amount of taped bundles at a residence located at 1711 Lenrey Avenue, El Centro, California 92243. DEA agents along with Imperial Country Sheriff's Office and El Centro Police Department received consent from Defendant (1) Daniel FIGUEROA-Moreno, via telephone, to search the residence. A search of the residence revealed 1,738.68 kilograms (3,833.79 pounds) of marijuana discovered in three rooms of the residence.

2. The two occupants of the residence, Defendant (2) Ricardo FIGUEROA-Moreno and Defendant (3) CORONA-Cordova were immediately detained upon the discovery of the marijuana. Defendant (1) Daniel FIGUEROA-Moreno was located shortly thereafter on a traffic stop after being observed by agents driving slowly past the residence. Defendant (2) Ricardo FIGUEROA-Moreno denied knowledge of the marijuana at the residence and stated his brother Daniel told him (Ricardo) that

1 he (Daniel) was doing something bad. Daniel stated that he informed Ricardo and CORONA-Cordova  
2 that he kept marijuana at the residence and they could remain at the residence while they located jobs.

3 C. History and Characteristics of the Defendant (18 U.S.C. § 3142(G)(3))

- 4 1. The Defendant is a citizen of Mexico.  
5 2. The Defendant lists both Redlands, California and El Centro as his residence.  
6 3. The Defendant has no legal right to remain or work in the United States, as he  
7 only possesses a Border Crossing Card.

8 D. Nature and Seriousness of Danger Posed by Release (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(4))

9 1. Other than that the charged crime is a drug trafficking offense, the Government  
10 proffered no evidence to suggest that release of the Defendant would pose a danger to any person or the  
11 community. The Defendant has the following criminal history:

12 09/05/05 - 12500 VC Unlicensed Driver

13 - 22349 VC Exceeding the maximum speed limit

14 - El Centro Municipal Court Case # CM 20324 - Fine

15 10/14/05 - San Bernardino 16028 VC Failure to provide Evidence of Financial responsibility

16 - 40508 VC Failure to appear after signing citation on court continuance

17 - San Bernardino Case # 88508 Failure to Appear - Remains outstanding

18 II

19 REASONS FOR DETENTION

20 A. There is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed the offense charged in  
21 Criminal Complaint No. 08MJ8001, namely, possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance  
22 1,738.68 kilograms (3,833.79 pounds) of marijuana in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

23 B. The Defendant faces a substantial period of time in custody if convicted of the offense  
24 charged in the Complaint; specifically, he faces a potential mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years  
25 imprisonment. He therefore has a strong motive to flee.

26  
27 C. The Defendant has no legal right to remain in the United States; therefore, Defendant  
28 would have a strong motive to flee.

1 D. The Defendant has not rebutted the presumption, based upon the Court's findings that  
2 there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed an offense for which a maximum term  
3 of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801  
4 et seq.), that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the  
5 Defendant at future court proceedings.

6 III

7 ORDER

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant be detained pending trial in this matter.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney  
10 General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent  
11 practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The  
12 Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.

13 While in custody, upon order of a court of the United States or upon the request of an attorney  
14 for the United States, the person in charge of the correctional facility shall deliver the Defendant to the  
15 United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding or any  
16 other appearance stipulated to by defense and government counsel.

17 THIS ORDER IS ENTERED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.


19 DATED: January 11, 2008.

20  
21 

22 PETER C. LEWIS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23 Prepared by:

24 KAREN P. HEWITT  
United States Attorney

25  
26   
27 John F. Weis  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

28 cc: Matthew Hagen  
Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.